



Competency description of

**Demo User** 

# **Interpretation of the Report**

This report offers insights into Demo User's behavior, based on responses to the BitComp self-descriptive questionnaire. As with all self-report methods, it reflects how Demo User views himself, which may differ from how others would describe him.

The report is intended for use in organizational contexts such as HR, talent management, development, and selection. It is not designed or validated for clinical use. Its value lies in supporting dialogue, reflection, and informed decision-making, rather than offering a final or absolute evaluation of Demo User. The accuracy of the description depends on Demo User's level of self-awareness and the sincerity of his responses. The findings are valid for approximately 12 months. The profile should always be treated as confidential and handled in line with applicable data protection regulations.

# The Underlying Questionnaire

The BitComp questionnaire presents short, self-descriptive statements in groups of four. From each set, the candidate chooses the one that best describes him, which is then removed. Through repeated choices a stable ranking emerges: some statements rise through selection, while others fall by being left behind.

# **Adaptive Selection**

Each new set of statements is chosen based on previous answers, always aiming to provide the most informative comparison. This makes every questionnaire unique, yet still fully comparable. The process is efficient, avoiding wasted items and producing a richer, more precise profile than traditional scale-based methods.

A useful analogy is to think of a skilled interviewer. A good interviewer adjusts each follow-up to make the conversation clearer. Each new question is chosen because it helps refine what was already said. In the same way, the questionnaire adapts: every choice shapes the next comparison, so it quickly shows which descriptions fit best and which fit less, without wasting time on less useful questions.

## **Forced Choice and Fairness**

Because candidates must always pick one statement, they cannot simply endorse everything. This limits image-management and keeps them actively engaged. Unlike standard ipsative formats, results remain comparable across people while still capturing honesty and nuance.

### **Ensuring Reliability**

The underlying mathematical method reviews all comparisons and estimates the most likely ranking. In effect, it acts like a fair referee, giving weight to consistent choices and discounting occasional mismatches. The outcome is a stable, reliable picture of the person, even with some inconsistent answers.

## Why This Matters

The questionnaire is faster than traditional tests, straightforward to complete, and more engaging. It reduces the effect of impression-management, ensures reliable results, and can be extended to new contexts while staying comparable. In short, it is faster, clearer, more reliable, and adaptable — giving participants and readers confidence in the results.

# Interpretation guide Reflective Insights Report

#### Summary

This guide explains how to read the reflective report. It clarifies why it is called reflective, how it was generated, how to interpret underlines and footnotes, and how the content should be used.

#### Why Reflective, and Who Is It For?

The report is reflective because it includes the test taker's own ratings and comments. Agreement or disagreement is shown by underlining styles, while personal remarks appear as footnotes. The text still speaks in the second person ("You") to preserve the original voice. The compiled report is intended for third-party readers such as coaches, psychologists, or HR professionals.

#### How was the Description Created

The questionnaire the candidate took results in a list of self-descriptive statements. From this list, the artificial intelligence selected the most significant ones, grouping them, looking for patterns, and placing them into context. It considered how certain statements strengthen or weaken each other, and how they combine into a fuller picture. The result is a narrative written in the second person ("You..."), highlighting recognizable tendencies, contradictions, and consequences in a way that feels both careful and expert. The purpose was not to flatter, criticize, or judge, but to reflect the person's way of relating, thinking, and acting. Each sentence is meant to be concrete enough that the person can respond to it — agreeing, disagreeing, or refining it with comments. What you are reading is therefore a reflective draft: a mirror built from the person's own self-descriptions, sharpened into words so that both they and others can see more clearly how they operate.

The test taker than could rate and comment on each statement. What you read is the combined version: the system-generated description, plus the test taker's own reflections.

#### **How to Read**

Underlining shows ratings:

- bold green = totally agree
- green = agree
- red = disagree
- bold red = totally disagree.

Footnotes show the test taker's comments.

#### **Limitations and Use**

The report reflects self-description at one point in time. It is not a fixed judgment; disagreements are part of the self-portrait. Ideally, results should be discussed directly with the test taker. However, since the test taker could already express their views in ratings and comments, the report partly stands in for such a dialogue. It also fulfills a GDPR role by giving the candidate access to their results. For this reason, while in-person discussion is recommended, the report can be used on its own if necessary.

# **Reflective Insights Report**

## RELATING

Demo User clearly values mutual trust and inspires confidence in others, making people feel comfortable sharing their perspectives. He acts with concern for everyone and has many useful connections, strengthening his network and support system. He also tends to pursue honest, supportive relationships and speaks respectfully, which helps him prioritize people over mere achievements. However, he may not always share knowledge or delegate tasks effectively, which can limit collaboration. He may sometimes be defensive to criticism and may not always know what's going on around him, possibly affecting team awareness. Balázs may put speaking ahead of listening and can occasionally find it difficult to build new relationships or encourage others when their energy is low.

#### Summary

His openness and genuine concern for others foster strong relationships, allowing people to feel valued and supported in his presence. At the same time, hesitancy in sharing knowledge or difficulties in delegation and listening may lead some to perceive him as less approachable or inclusive in certain situations.

#### In one sentence

Together, these qualities create a personality that is warm and supportive, though ongoing attention to communication and inclusivity could further strengthen his impact on others.

### **THINKING**

Demo User consistently generates new ideas and prefers freedom in his work, thriving in environments where variety is present. He is comfortable with digital tools and enjoys data analysis, demonstrating a willingness to embrace new learning and broad interests. He tends to be optimistic, open to new things, and makes decisions thoroughly and clearly. However, he may not always align his work with his values and can focus more on effort than on tangible results. He may sometimes let issues fester or not always recognize how things affect him, which could make navigating complex situations challenging. Balázs may not always be able to generate practical solutions or evaluate all available options, particularly in ambiguous situations.

## **Summary**

His inventive approach and openness to new experiences allow him to bring fresh perspectives and adaptability to teams. At the same time, a tendency to overlook practicalities or lose sight of underlying values may sometimes make his contributions seem less grounded.

#### In one sentence

This combination results in a thoughtful and creative thinker who benefits from ongoing reflection on how to anchor ideas in actionable outcomes.

I think I recognize how things affect me.

<sup>2</sup> I always felt I evaluate too many options rather than too few.

### **ACTING**

Demo User takes initiative and is willing to put in extra hours when needed, showing strong dedication to his responsibilities. He persists through difficulty, demonstrating resilience when faced with challenges. He tends to keep promises and sets fairly high standards for his own work. However, he may get sidetracked or feel constrained by rules, which could impact his consistency and adaptability. He may lose track of tasks, tolerate clutter, and sometimes feel uncomfortable with strict schedules or making firm decisions. Balázs can also be easily distracted, may lack steady motivation, and sometimes misses deadlines or skips important checks.

#### **Summary**

His readiness to act and high personal standards make him a reliable team member, especially when flexibility and persistence are needed. At the same time, a tendency to lose focus or resist structure may lead others to perceive inconsistency or hesitation in his approach.

#### In one sentence

Altogether, these traits shape a dedicated individual who thrives in dynamic settings, but who may benefit from developing strategies for greater organization and follow-through.

### OVERAL RESPONSE TO THE DESCRIPTION

Demo User

# completely agreed

that the above description accurately characterises his everyday behaviour.



### Demo User added the following comment:

This is a surprisingly good description.